

HEALTH CARE LIABILITY UPDATE, 2014

PAULA SWEENEY

Slack & Davis

2911 Turtle Creek Boulevard

Suite 1400

Dallas Texas 75219

(214) 528-8686

psweeney@slackdavis.com

State Bar of Texas

ADVANCED MEDICAL TORTS COURSE

March 13-14, 2014

Santa Fe, NM

CHAPTER 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... VIII

MEDICAL MALPRACTICE UPDATE 1

I. SCOPE OF THE ARTICLE 1

II. THE GOVERNING STATUTES ARTICLE 4590I AND CHAPTER 74..... 1

A. Chapter 74 1

 1. Definitions..... 1

B. Article 4590i..... 4

 1. Definition of “Health Care Provider” 4

 2. Entities Defined by the Courts as Health care Providers Under Chapter 74 and Article 4590i 4

 3. Definition of “Health Care Liability Claim” 6

 4. Definition of “Physician” 15

 5. Chapter 74 Conflict with Other Law 15

C. Chapter 74 and Sovereign Immunity 16

D. Chapter 74 - The Deceptive Trade Practices Statute 16

E. Notice of Claim 16

F. Ad Damnum Clause 21

G. Informed Consent, Chapter 74 Subchapter C and Article 4590i, Subchapter F 22

H. The “Bad Result” Instruction: Article 4590i, § 7.02, and § 74.303 (e)(2) 23

I. Subchapter D, Emergency Care..... 23

J. Section 74.154 - New Jury Instruction..... 28

K. Res Ipsa Loquitur..... 28

L. Statute of Limitations and Repose 30

 1. Limitations Under Article 4590i 30

 2. The Open Courts Exception to the Statute of Limitations Since 1977 35

 3. Tolling of the Statute of Limitations Since 1977 48

 4. Interaction of Article 4590i and Chapter 74 With Other Statutes 55

 5. Tolling Provision and the Effect of Defective Pleadings 58

 6. Statute of Limitations and Its Effect on Derivative Claims..... 59

 7. Weekends and Holidays Do Not Extend The Statute..... 59

 8. Minority Tolling and Corporate Dissolution 59

 9. Effect of Plaintiff’s Bankruptcy 59

 10. The Effect of Chapter 74, Section 74.251 60

M. Limitation on Damages - §§ 74.301, 74.302 and 74.303 and Article 4590i, § 11.02 66

 1. CPI Adjustment to the Death Cap 69

 2. The Constitutionality of the Damage Caps 69

 3. Article 4590i’s Damages Cap and Its Interaction with Other Damages Provisions 74

 4. Unanswered Questions..... 77

N. Subchapter M, Article 4590i, §13.01 and Subchapter H, Chapter 74, The Expert Report Requirement 79

1. CPRC Section 74.351, The Expert Report 79
2. Rule 202 Depositions 82
3. Deadline is 120 Days 83
4. Defense Deadline is 21 Days 83
5. Effect of Challenge 84
6. Parties May Agree to Extend 84
7. Court May Grant 30-Day Extension 84
8. Interlocutory Appeal 85
9. Is the Deposition of the Defendant Physician Precluded Prior to the Filing of the 120-day Report? 85
10. What is “Service” Under Chapter 74? 85
11. One Service is Enough 90
12. CVs 90
13. Are “Magic Words” Required Regarding Causation? 90
14. Inconsistent Statements Within the Report. 91
15. If the Court Can Determine the Basis of Plaintiff’s Complaint, the Report is Adequate. 91
16. “Good Faith Efforts” is the Standard. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
17. How Many Reports Are Required? 91
18. A Report Cannot Be Entirely Conclusory. 92
19. The Four Corners Rule. 93
20. The Standard of Review. 94
21. No “Good Cause” “Accident” or “Mistake” is Required. 94
22. In Emergency Room Cases, the Report Need Not Speak to “Willful and Wanton Negligence.” .. 94
23. Expert Reports in *Res Ipsa Loquitur* Cases. 95
24. Non-suit and the 120-Day Clock. 95
25. Nonsuit Does Not Cancel Motions for Sanctions or Interlocutory Appeals. 95
26. Qualifications Must Be Shown Within the Body of the Report 96
27. Distinction Between Defendants Who Are Individuals and Defendants Who Are Not 96
28. § 74.351(l), § 74.351(d) and Interlocutory Appeal 97
29. Defendant’s Failure to Answer or Have a Registered Agent Does Not Vitate the Duty to Serve a Report But May Result in Tolling of the 120 Day Clock 99
30. An Expert May Apply the Same Standard of Care to Two Physicians 99
31. Docket Control Orders 100
32. Must a Report During the Grace Period be from the Same Expert? 100
33. Sanctions and Court Costs 101
34. The Importance of Requesting a Grace Period Extension 101
35. The Expert Report and Damages 102
36. Interplay Between “Deficient Report” and “No Report” 102
37. Effect of Amending Claims or Adding Parties 102
38. Threshold Means Threshold 104
39. Constitutionality of the Expert Report Requirement 106
40. Plaintiff’s Experts May Rely on Talking with the Patient 108
41. Chapter 74 Report Cannot be Based on Assumptions 108
42. Must Plaintiff’s Experts be from Texas? 108
43. Must Use Defendant’s Name 109
44. Experts from Different Fields Permitted? 109
45. Plaintiff’s Counsel May Write the Chapter 74 Report 110
46. Plaintiff Does Not Forfeit the Opportunity to Cure Deficiencies by Responding to the Objections Made by Defendant 111
47. Reports in Informed Consent and Negligence *Per Se* Cases 111
48. The Chapter 74 Report in Federal Court 111
49. *Daubert* Striking of Expert Does Not Invalidate the Report 112
50. Plaintiff Must Have a Specialized Expert to Maintain a Negligent Credentialing Claim 112

51. The Report Requirement When Defendant Defaults.....113

52. Vicarious Liability Claims Do Not Require Separate Expert Reports114

53. Court Must Rule.....115

54. Alleged Bias of Expert Not Relevant at Report Stage.....115

55. Procedure for Curing a Defective Report.....115

56. Effect of Abatement115

57. Effect of Bankruptcy on § 13.01 Requirements116

58. Mistaken Filing in Federal Court Does Not Toll the Statute.....117

59. The 120 Day Report in Tort Claims Cases.....117

60. There Must Not Be an Analytical Gap in Plaintiff’s expert’s reasoning.....117

61. Article 4590i and the Expert Report Requirement117

O. Chapter 74.352 - Discovery Procedures117

P. Subchapter 1 of Chapter 74 and § 14.01 Expert Witnesses.....118

1. The General Rule120

2. Experts Not From Texas121

3. Case Law.....121

4. Instances in Which Expert Testimony May Not Be Required129

5. *Daubert* and Its Progeny131

6. Personal Preference or Opinion.....131

7. Expert Opinion Under Rule 704 Admissible On Ultimate Issues of Mixed Law and Fact.....132

8. Physician Acting as a Specialist.....132

9. The Physician Must Exercise His Skill With Reasonable Care and Diligence133

10. The “Same School” Rule.....133

11. Evidence-Custom Admissible, But Not Conclusive On the Standard of Care in Medical Malpractice Cases135

12. Professional Standards135

13. Basis for Expert’s Opinion.....135

Q. Arbitration Agreements, Chapter 74, Subchapter J., and Article 4590i, Subchapter O, § 15.01.....137

1. The Statute137

2. Case Law.....137

R. Payments for Future Losses, Chapter 74, Subchapter K, §§ 74.501–74.507.....138

S. A Notable Omission.....140

III. THE TEXAS TORT CLAIMS ACT140

A. Legal Limitations on Liability of Governmental Entities.....140

1. State and County Hospitals140

2. The Mandatory Election Clause, § 101.106141

3. Relation Back After Dismissal Is Not Necessary146

4. The 120 Day Report.....146

5. Before Government Unit Can Be Liable, Defendant Must be an Employee.....146

6. Must Have “Use” or “Misuse” of Tangible Property147

7. Pleading “Condition or Use of Property”147

8. What Constitutes “Tangible Property” Sufficient to Invoke Waiver of Immunity?.....147

9. Causal Link Requirement.....147

10. Interlocutory Appeal148

11. Tort Claims Immunity and The “Patient Bill of Rights”148

12. Notice Under the TTCA148

13. No Discovery Rule.....149

14. No Tolling For Incompetents150

15. Minority Does Not Obviate the Notice Requirement.....150

16.	City Hospitals.....	150
17.	Liability of Health Care Management Contractors	151
18.	Hospitals Operated by the Federal Government	151
19.	Texas Tort Claims Act Limit On Damages.....	152
IV. PLEADING AND PROVING THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASE.....		154
A.	Pleadings of Plaintiff.....	154
1.	Notice of Claim.....	154
2.	Ad Damnum.....	155
B.	Pleadings of Defendant	155
1.	Limitations Defense	155
2.	Lack of Notice.....	155
3.	Damages Limitations	155
4.	Contributory Negligence of Plaintiff.....	156
C.	The Cause of Action.....	157
1.	Establishing the Existence of a Duty: The Patient-Physician Relationship.....	157
2.	Liability of Physician for Acts of Hospital Personnel.....	166
3.	Liability for Residents.....	166
4.	Liability of Physician for Acts of Another Physician.....	166
5.	Breach of Warranty.....	168
6.	Theories of Recovery-Negligence.....	168
7.	Battery.....	170
8.	Lack of Informed Consent.....	170
9.	Abandonment.....	177
10.	Fraud.....	178
11.	Contract to Cure	179
12.	Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act.....	180
13.	Malicious Prosecution.....	180
14.	False Imprisonment.....	182
15.	Limitation on Strict Liability.....	182
16.	Unauthorized Disclosure of Confidential Information.....	182
17.	Experimental Medicine	183
18.	Unnecessary Surgery.....	183
19.	Prenatal Injuries	184
20.	Wrongful Pregnancy or Conception.....	185
21.	Wrongful Birth.....	185
22.	Wrongful Life	185
23.	“Wrongful <i>Continued</i> Life”	186
24.	Spoliation	187
25.	Wrongful Death and Survival Actions	187
26.	Physician - Patient Relationship Requirement for Wrongful Death Action.....	188
27.	Liability for Infection.....	188
28.	Loss of a Chance.....	188
29.	Civil Rights Violation	188
30.	Sexual Exploitation	189
31.	Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress	189
32.	Bystander Recovery	189
33.	Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.....	190
34.	Negligent Misrepresentation	190
35.	Failure to Consult a Specialist.....	191
36.	Physician Liability Arising from Worker’s Compensation Examinations or Care	191
37.	Negligence of Dentists	191

38.	Liability of Original Tortfeasor for Subsequent Medical Malpractice	192
39.	“Patient Dumping” or the Duty to Treat in the Emergency Room.....	192
40.	Sexual Abuse & Repressed Memories	195
41.	Fear of AIDS	195
42.	Negligent Disregard of Patient’s DNR Directive	196
43.	Long-Arm Jurisdiction, Out-of-State Physicians	196
V.	PLEADING AND PROVING THE HOSPITAL LIABILITY CASE.....	196
A.	Proving the Plaintiff’s Cause of Action	196
1.	Vicarious Liability	196
2.	Direct Corporate Liability	201
VI.	NURSING HOME LIABILITY	207
A.	Repeal of Texas Human Resources Code § 32.021(1), (k) and Texas Health and Safety Code § 242.050.....	207
B.	Changes To The Punitive Damages Statute	209
VII.	PRELIMINARY DISCOVERY	209
A.	Discovery Tools In Medical Malpractice Cases.....	209
B.	Rule 202 Depositions	209
C.	Incident, Unusual Occurrence Or Variance Reports.....	209
D.	Compelling Physical and Mental Examinations	210
1.	Expert Witnesses.....	210
2.	Depositions of Hospital Corporate Officers	211
3.	Photographs.....	212
4.	Financial Condition of a Hospital	212
5.	Privileges.....	212
E.	<i>Mandamus</i> as a Remedy.....	221
VIII.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT PRACTICE.....	222
A.	Summary Judgment Practice in Texas.....	222
1.	Adequate Time for Discovery	223
2.	How Much Evidence is Enough?	223
3.	Applicability of Rule 166A(i)	223
B.	Summary Judgment Proof	223
1.	Affidavits	224
2.	Depositions.....	225
3.	Answers to Interrogatories and Requests for Admissions.....	226
4.	Medical Records.....	226
C.	Determining if Summary Judgment is Proper	227
1.	Has the Movant Negated an Essential Element of the Claimant’s Claim or Defense?	227
2.	Has a Fact Issue Been Raised?	227
3.	The Movant Must Raise All Grounds at the Trial Court Level.....	227
4.	Expert Testimony Must Be Sufficient.....	227
5.	Expert Must Be Familiar with the Standard of Care	228

- 6. The Standard of Care Must Be Specifically Stated228
- 7. Causal Link Must Be Established229
- 8. Fact Issue on Unpleaded Theories is Sufficient230
- 9. Respondent’s Summary Judgment Proof230
- 10. Continuances231
- D. Appealing the Grant or Denial of Summary Judgment.....231**
- IX. CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MEDICAL EXPERTS IN THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASE232**
- A. Qualifications of Expert.....232**
 - 1. Professional Qualifications232
 - 2. Lack of Medical Specialty Board Certification.....232
 - 3. Hospital Medical Staff Privileges.....232
- B. Use of Medical Treatise and Literature.....232**
- C. Use of Medical Records.....233**
- D. Bias, Prejudice, Reputation or Pecuniary Interest.....233**
 - 1. Bias and Prejudice.....233
 - 2. Financial Interest of Expert in Success or Outcome of Case.....233
 - 3. Professional Reputation or Character.....233
 - 4. Prior Litigation Testimonial Experience or Expert233
 - 5. Specific Financial, Accounting and Income Records of Expert – Not Discoverable or Admissible for Impeachment Purposes234
 - 6. Insurance Carriers234
 - 7. *Ex Parte* Conferences.....234
- E. Other Suits235**
- F. Prior Complaints Against Experts.....235**
- G. Prior Criminal Record of Expert.....235**
- H. Tampering with Expert Witnesses.....236**
- X. DAMAGES IN MALPRACTICE CASES.....236**
 - A. Damages Law in Tort Cases Apply to Malpractice Actions236**
 - B. Damages Unique to Malpractice Cases236**
- XI. THE PLAINTIFF’S APPROACH TO THE COURT’S CHARGE237**
 - A. The Court’s Charge in Texas237**
 - 1. General Rules and Trend Toward Simplification.....237
 - 2. The Charge in Malpractice Cases.....237
 - B. Instructions and Special Issues - Legal Authority238**
 - 1. “Negligence” – Conveying the Legal Standard of Conduct for Physicians to the Jury.....238
 - 2. “Proximate Cause” Definition.....240
 - 3. “Occasion In Question” Definition240
 - 4. Inferential Rebuttals241
 - 5. Informed Consent.....243
 - 6. Submitting Res Ipsa Loquitur by Instruction245
 - 7. Damages Limit Instruction.....245

8. Bad Result Instruction.....245

9. Establishment of Facts: Direct or Circumstantial Evidence245

10. Burden of Proof: Preponderance of Evidence by Definition.....246

11. Instruction on Spoliation246

12. Disapproved Instructions and Definitions.....246

13. Submission of Emergency Room Cases.....248

XII. INSURANCE COVERAGE.....249

A. Multiple Claims and Defendants.....249

B. The Importance Of The “Claim” Date.....249

APPENDIX “A”251

APPENDIX “B”259

TOLLING OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS259